

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/347,427	CLARK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Olds (Atty).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 September 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

5, 7-9, 15 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Non-elected claims 5, 7-9, 15 and 16 were cancelled.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
Mathieu D. Vargot  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)